Inorganic Chemistry

Dinuclear [Co^{II}(NCMe)₅Co^{II}(NCS)₄] Possessing Octahedral and Tetrahedral Co^{II} Sites

Endrit Shurdha,[†] Curtis E. Moore,[‡] Arnold L. Rheingold, and Joel S. Miller^{*,†}

⁺Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112-0850, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0358, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The structural and magnetic properties of dinuclear $[Co^{II}(NCMe)_5Co^{II}(NCS)_4]$ ·MeCN have been investigated. The structure consists of an octahedral Co^{II} - $(NCMe)_5$ center connected to a tetrahedral $Co^{II}(NCS)_4$ center bridged by a $\mu_{1,3}$ -NCS⁻ ligand. The bridging NCS⁻ weakly couples the pair of $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ Co^{II} spin sites, as evidenced by the magnetic data being best fit by the Curie–Weiss expression with $\theta = -15.5$ K.

Thiocyanate is well established as both a terminal ligand and a multidentate ligand for the construction of coordination complexes¹ and has led to network structures with extended bonding in one, two,² and/or three³ dimensions. In addition, mixed-metal systems⁴ have been reported with thiocyanate bridging. Because of the facile loss of the thiocyanate ligand, as well as its ability to reduce tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) to [TCNE]^{•-}, we have studied this chemistry with the goal of making new organic-based magnets.⁵

As part of these studies, we report a dicobalt(II) complex of $[Co_2^{II}(NCMe)_6(NCS)_4]$ (1) composition with each Co^{II} site in a different geometry, namely, one octahedral and one tetrahedral. In an attempt to prepare $[Co^{II}(NCS)_2(NCMe)_2]$, which was expected to be isostructural with chain-structured $[M^{II}(NCS)_2 - (OCMe_2)_2]$ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe),⁵ Co(NCS)₂ (100 mg) was dissolved in acetonitrile, which formed a blue solution. Upon cooling at -20 °C for 24 h, purple crystals of 1 formed and were collected via filtration. 1 has unexpected IR absorptions at (a) 2311 and 2284 cm⁻¹, which suggest coordinated acetonitrile,⁶ (b) 2254 cm⁻¹ indicative of uncoordinated acetonitrile, (c) 2139 cm⁻¹ as a sharp peak, and (d) 2073 cm⁻¹, suggesting a bridging⁷ and an N-bound terminal isothiocyanate, respectively.⁸

The structure⁹ of 1 consists of one octahedral \dot{Co}^{II} center coordinated to five acetonitriles and an S-bound $\mu_{1,3}$ -NCS ligand. The second Co^{II} is tetrahedral with three N-bound isothiocyanate ligands and the aforementioned bridging N-bound $\mu_{1,3}$ -NCS ligand (Figure 1). In addition, one acetonitrile molecule is not coordinated, in accordance with the IR spectrum. Hence, its composition is $[Co^{II}(NCMe)_5Co^{II}(NCS)_4]$ ·MeCN or $[Co^{II}(NCMe)_5-\mu_{1,3}$ -SCN-Co^{II}(NCS)_3]·MeCN.

The five terminal Co–NCMe bond lengths of mean 2.107 Å [range 2.090(2)–2.123(2) Å] for the octahedral Co^{II} center agree with that of 2.114(7) Å in $[Co(NCMe)_6]^{2+.10}$ The shorter mean of 1.951 Å [range 1.949(2)–1.972(2) Å] for the three terminal Co–NCS bond lengths of the tetrahedral Co^{II} center compare closely with that of 1.973(3) Å in $[Co(NCS)_4]^{2+.11}$ For

the bridged NCS ligand, the observed Co–NCS and Co–SCN bond lengths do not expectedly suggest any appreciable charge transfer within the bridging NCS motif; the bridging Co–NCS distance is only 0.02 Å longer than that for the three terminal Co–NCS distances, and the bridging Co–SCN distance is not significantly different from that of 2.575(43) Å in Co(NCS)₂-(C₆H₅NO₂)₂.¹² The intradinuclear distance between the two cobalt centers is 5.732(2) Å, while the shortest interdinuclear distance is 5.319(2) Å. The intradinuclear distance is comparable to other dinuclear cobalt species bridged by thiocyanate, e.g., $[Co_2(NCS)_2(H_2O)_2(C_7H_3NO_4)_2]$ [5.590(1) Å].¹³

Compounds that possess tetrahedral and octahedral centers are rare and are limited to tetrahedral Hg^{II} S-bound to a thiocyanate bridged to M^{II} (M = Co,¹⁴ Ni,¹⁵) forming two-dimensional layers with the $\mu_{1,3}$ -NCS motif. To the best of our knowledge, **1** is the only dinuclear complex with tetrahedral and octahedral geometries in its structure.

The magnetic susceptibility, χ , of 1 was measured between 5 and 300 K.¹⁶ The 300 K $\chi T(T)$ value is 5.575 emu·K/mol and is higher than the spin-only expectation of 3.75 emu·K/mol for two $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ sites. $\chi T(T)$ gradually decreases with decreasing temperature until ~5 K, characteristic of paramagnetic systems with small coupling. The magnetic data were modeled by expressions for (a) independent spins antiferromagnetically coupled (θ) for two $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ Co^{II} sites with the Curie–Weiss equation (eq 1), (b) the inclusion of zero field splitting, *D*, for this independent spin model (eq 2),¹⁷ and (c) strong intradimer coupling with the dinuclear $S = {}^{3}/{}_{2} \pm {}^{3}/{}_{2}$ Bleaney–Bowers-like equation (eq 2). For all three equations, *N* is Avogadro's number, $\mu_{\rm B}$ is the Bohr magneton, *g* is the Landé value, $k_{\rm B}$ is Boltzmann's constant, and *S* is the total spin quantum number.

$$\chi = 2 \left[\frac{N \mu_{\rm B}^2 g_{\rm avg}^2}{3k_{\rm B}(T - \theta)} S(S + 1) \right]$$
(1)

$$\chi = \left[\frac{1}{3} \frac{N \mu_{\rm B}^2 g^2 S(S+1)}{m k_{\rm B}(T-\theta)} \left(\frac{1+9 {\rm e}^{-2D/k_{\rm B}T}}{4+(1+{\rm e}^{-2D/k_{\rm B}T})}\right) + \frac{2}{3} \frac{N \mu_{\rm B}^2 g^2 S(S+1)}{m k_{\rm B}(T-\theta)} \left(\frac{1+\frac{3T}{4D}(1-{\rm e}^{-2D/k_{\rm B}T})}{1+{\rm e}^{-2D/k_{\rm B}T}}\right)\right]$$
(2)

Received:August 22, 2011Published:October 03, 2011



Figure 1. ORTEP atom-labeling diagram (50% probability thermal ellipsoids) of 1.



Figure 2. $\chi(T)$ data (\bullet) of $[Co(NCMe)_5Co(NCS)_4]$ ·MeCN and Curie-Weiss (---), zero-field-splitting (---), and Bleaney-Bowers (\cdots) fitting expressions.

$$\chi = \left[\frac{N\mu_{\rm B}^2 g^2 S(S+1)}{3k_{\rm B}(T-\theta)}\right] \left[\frac{2e^{2J/k_{\rm B}T} + 10e^{6J/k_{\rm B}T} + 28e^{12J/k_{\rm B}T}}{1+3e^{2J/k_{\rm B}T} + 5e^{6J/k_{\rm B}T} + 7e^{12J/k_{\rm B}T}}\right] + \text{TIP}$$
(3)

The $\chi(T)$ data can be well fit for all temperatures by the Curie–Weiss expression (eq 1), with $g_{avg} = 2.5$ and $\theta = -15.5$ K in accordance with other octahedral and tetrahedral cobalt(II) complexes (Figure 2).¹⁸ The negative θ value indicates antiferromagnetic coupling. This is the best fit compared to the other two models commonly used for related cobalt(II) complexes, namely, inclusion of zero field splitting, D (eq 2), or a dinuclear Bleaney–Bowers-like equation for two $S = \frac{3}{2}$ spin sites that includes temperature-independent paramagnetism (TIP; eq 3). Above 27 K, the $\chi(T)$ data can be fit with eq 2 with the parameters g_{avg} = 2.07, $D/k_{\rm B}$ = 35 K, and θ = -6 K. The D parameter for this dinuclear complex is comparable to those for one-dimensional chains of $[Co(\mu-SO_4)(3\{5\}-tert-butylpyrazole)_3]$ reported in the literature.¹⁹ Because of the dinuclear structure, eq 3 was identified to be a plausible model for the data, but the data only fit above 40 K (g = 2.48, $J/k_B = -5$ K, $\theta = -1$, and TIP = 200×10^{-6} emu). The coupling parameters for the dinuclear complex indicate antiferromagnetic coupling within the dinuclear species. Hence, the best fit for the dinuclear cobalt complex is the Curie-Weiss expression.

 $[Co^{II}(NCMe)_5Co^{II}(NCS)_4]$ · MeCN is a good synthetic precursor because of the ease at which the NCS⁻ and MeCN ligands are lost. The reaction of [Co^{II}(NCMe)₅Co^{II}(NCS)₄]·MeCN with $(NBu_4)^+[TCNE]^{\bullet-}$ in CH_2Cl_2 has led to the formation of $[Co^{II}(TCNE)[C_4(CN)_8]_{1/2}] \cdot zCH_2Cl_2$.^{5,20}

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic CIF file for 1 (CCDC 840142). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. The atomic coordinates for this structure have also been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. The coordinates can be obtained, upon request, from the Director, Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jsmiller@chem.utah.edu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We appreciate continued partial support by the Department of Energy Division of Material Science (Grant DE-FG03-93ER4-5504).

REFERENCES

(1) Bailey, R. A.; Kozak, S. L.; Michelsen, T. W.; Mills, W. N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1971, 6, 407.

- (2) Nather, N.; Greve, J. J. Solid State Chem. 2003, 176, 259.
- (3) Du, M.; Li, C.; Guo, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2006, 359, 2575.

(4) Shi, J.; Xu, W.; Zhao, B.; Cheng, P.; Liao, D.; Chen, X. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 55.

(5) Shurdha, E.; Moore, C. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Lapidus, S. H.; Miller, J. S., in preparation.

(6) Buschmann, W. E.; Miller, J. S. Chem.—Eur. J. 1998, 4, 1731.

(7) (a) Chatt, J.; Duncanson, L. A. Nature 1956, 178, 997. (b) Chatt,

J.; Duncanson, L. A.; Hart, F. A.; Owston, P. G. Nature 1958, 181, 43. (8) Kabesova, M.; Boca, R.; Melnik, M.; Valigura, D.; Dunaj-Jurco, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 140, 115.

(9) $Co^{II}(NCMe)_5Co^{II}(NCS)_4$ ·MeCN: $C_{16}H_{18}Co_2N_{10}S_4$, monoclinic, space group Cc, a = 9.6785(5) Å, b = 13.9686(8) Å, c =119.8210(11) Å, $\beta = 91.354(1)^\circ$, V = 2679.0(3) Å³, Z = 4, T =113(2) K, $\rho_{calc} = 1.479 \text{ g/cm}^3$, R1 = 0.0193, and wR2 = 0.0446.

(10) Cotton, F. A.; Daniels, L. M.; Jordan, G. T.; Murillo, C. A. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 589.

(11) Peppel, T.; Köckerling, M.; Geppert-Rybczyńska, M.; Ralys, R. V.; Lehmann, J. K.; Verevkin, S. P.; Heintz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7116.

(12) Yang, G.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, Y.; Ng, S. W. J. Chem. Res. 2007, 384.

(13) Mao, S.; Liu, S.; Liao, F.; Shiu, K. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2008, 55, 13.

(14) Kinoshita, H.; Ouchi, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 1169.

(15) Jian, F.; Xiao, H.; Liu, F. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 3695.

(16) $\chi(T)$ was measured at 1 kOe between 5 and 300 K on a Quantum Design MPMS 5 magnetometer equipped with a reciprocating sample measurement system as previously described [Brandon, E. J.; Rittenberg, D. K.; Arif, A. M.; Miller, J. S. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 3376]. Magnetic studies were conducted in a gelatin capsule. In addition to

correction for the diamagnetic contribution from the sample holder, the core diamagnetic corrections of $-315\times10^{-6}\,emu/mol$ of Co was used.

(17) Kahn, O. Molecular Magnetism; VCH Publishers: New York, 1993; p 18.

(18) (a) Masciocchi, N.; Galli, S.; Sironi, A.; Barea, E.; Navarro, J. A. R.; Salas, J. M.; Tabares, L. C. *Chem. Mater.* 2003, *15*, 2153.
(b) Shukla, D.; Gupta, L. K.; Chandra, S. *Spectrochim. Acta A* 2008, *71*, 746.
(c) Krivokapic, I.; Zerara, M.; Daku, M. L.; Vargas, A.; Enachescu, C.; Ambrus, C.; Piggott, P. T.; Amstutz, N.; Krausz, E.; Hauser, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2006, 15.

(19) Jones, L. F.; Kilner, C. A.; Halcrow, M. A. New J. Chem. 2007, 31, 1530.

(20) $[Co(NCMe)_5Co(NCS)_4]$ ·MeCN was reacted with 2 equiv of $(NBu_4)(TCNE)$ in dichloromethane for 5 days. The brown precipitate of $Co^{II}(TCNE)[C_4(CN)_8]_{1/2}$ ·zCH₂Cl₂ [IR (KBr): ν_{CN} = 2229 (m), 2186 (sh), 2173 (b)] was isolated via filtration.